

FINAL DRAFT

Reynolds, V. (2020), Trauma and resistance: 'hang time' and other innovative responses to oppression, violence and suffering. *Journal of Family Therapy*. doi:10.1111/1467-6427.12293

Practitioner Points

Resistance to suffering and oppression is always present as persons' always act to guard their dignity and move towards safety.

Justice-doing and a decolonizing stance for the work is required to resist psychology's neutrality and objectivity that obscure contexts of structural oppression, often blaming persons' for their suffering from oppression.

A witnessing stance from direct action activism is useful in making space for resistance and includes the practitioners' responsibility to move beyond witnessing to create social change and address the contexts of injustice and limited life choices that are the frame for suffering.

Trauma and Resistance: 'Hang Time' & Other Innovative Responses to Oppression, Violence and Suffering

Vikki Reynolds PhD RCC

This writing presents activist-informed ways of responding to suffering in persons who have been oppressed and harmed. This approach centers on witnessing their prudent and creative acts of resistance (Reynolds, 2010; Reynolds, Bahman, Hammoud-Beckett, Sanders, & Haworth, 2014). A witnessing approach requires that we situate personal suffering in its sociopolitical context and resist the individualization and medicalization of suffering. Activist practices of witnessing are enacted through an ethical stance for justice-doing, which includes the duty of the witness to work to change the social contexts of oppression, and engage a true reckoning with power (Reynolds & polanco, 2012).

To foreground the practice of witnessing acts of resistance (as opposed to assessing, diagnosing, and treating trauma symptomology), I share a compilation of stories from working with youth who have given me permission to share their experiences. The stories from practice shared below contain threads from various youth's stories; I have woven these threads together in order to structure safety for youth who are indeed co-creators of this work, and to make the practice clearer. This requires holding a tension between acknowledging youth wisdom and necessarily protecting identifying details.

Decolonizing Practice and Justice-Doing

As an activist and therapist, I work to bridge the worlds of social justice activism with community work (Reynolds, 2019; Reynolds & Hammoud-Beckett, 2018). My people are Irish, Newfoundland, and English folk, and I am a white settler with heterosexual and cisgender privilege. I am still immersed in the on-going work of un-settling myself as a white settler (Regan, 2010), despite my commitment to be decolonizing in all of my paid and unpaid work.

Decolonizing practice is not a metaphor (Tuck & Yang, 2012); it means commitments to Indigenous governance and land return. In all my activism, community work, and organizing, I aim to be directed by Indigenous people (Manuel & Derrickson, 2015). As a settler I have set intentions to stay implicated in the ongoing catastrophes (Kouri & Skott-Myers, 2016) of colonization and genocide (Brave Heart, & DeBruyn, (1998). I am informed by Metis trauma counsellor/researcher/activist Natalie Clark (2016) who writes of trauma as the ‘New Colonial Frontier’. As an adjunct professor and therapeutic supervisor, I resist interpreting Indigenous resistance to ongoing colonization and state violence as a symptom of trauma, a therapeutic act of harm that Response Based practitioners Nick Todd and Allan Wade (1994) name with the neologism: *psycolonization*. In *The Wretched of the Earth*, (1963) Frantz Fanon wrote specifically of the psychopathology of colonization directly related to France’s use of political terrorism and wide spread torture to suppress the Algerian struggle for Independence. Fanon proposes that psychology as a euro-centric colonizing force is used to pathologize the colonized, but that we should centre our inquiry on the mental unwellness of the colonizer. Fanon’s teachings were absent from all 27 years of my education, and I am confident that erasure was connected to white supremacy, anti black racism in the academy, and to silencing diverse voices of dissent. Here I am informed by black, American therapist Makungu Akinyela (2002; 2014) and Travis Heath (2018) and their work to decolonize from a black, anti-colonial perspective addressing the soul wound (Duran, 2006) of slavery (Hardy, 2017b). As a white settler therapist, I am inextricably related to the project of psychology that systematically psycolonizes Indigenous people; I can’t distance myself from these practices, but must continue to resist them in practice and theorizing.

This practice also requires justice-doing. Justice-doing goes beyond the scope of anti-oppressive practice, which aims to not replicate oppression, but entails actually being just and ethical with people, which requires engaging the activist project to transform the social contexts in which suffering and oppression occur, and to do this in ways led by persons and with accountability to their communities. One reflexive question I continually puzzle with is: “How am I attending to power in this moment, in this interaction, with this person?”

This work is an anti-perfection project in part because we have not delivered on a just society. The practice of engaging in a purposefully messy and imperfect process (Reynolds, 2014) is informed by queer theory (Butler, 1990), critical trans theory (Spade, 2011), and anti-authoritarian social justice activism (Chomsky, 2005; Buechler, 2005; Shantz, 2011) where we aim to respond immediately to all oppressive and abusive acts. It requires that we take overt positions for justice-doing, defy neutrality, and have the moral courage to face up to and repair the consequences of imperfect actions.

As scholars and cultures of resistance teach, we must continually and fluidly attend to the intersections of domains of identity connected to both power and disadvantage (Crenshaw, 1995). This practice is informed by women of color feminism (Smith, 2006), in particular black feminists such as bell hooks (1984), and Patricia Hill Collins (1998). Ideas of diversity and inclusion, although useful, are also limiting and are being problematized and transformed by communities of resistance and by activists with marginalized voices (Ahmed, 2012). The structural inequities that promote suffering demand complex collective responses.

Trauma: Psychology language that obscures more than it reveals

Trauma, as conceptualized and defined by the mainstream field of psychology, is a medicalized term that obscures violence and human suffering. The language of psychology centres on descriptions of individuals' brokenness which hides the structural violence that promotes suffering. Legislative poverty, ableism, developer-created homelessness, on-going colonial violence, racism, anti-black racism (Hardy, 2017a), white supremacy, the prison industrial complex (Maynard, 2017), cishnormativity, heteronormativity, and rape culture – specifically, the structural state violence that fosters the murder and disappearance of Indigenous women that is tied to the rape of the earth through resource extraction and enacting ongoing colonization (Hunt, 2016) — all of these forms of structural violence are excluded from and concealed by psychological conceptualizations of trauma. A complex analysis helps us resist the psychological project, which reduces political and structural violence into personal deficiency (de Finney et al, 2018a): the perfect storm of victim-blaming.

Here I am accompanied by many scholar-practitioners who have offered well-considered critiques of the language of trauma (Sutherland et al., 2016; Strong & Busch, 2013; Allan Wade, personal communication). Specifically, how it locates our interest as workers in symptoms and diagnoses that are personalized, individuated, and constructed as the responsibility of the person, as if their personal strengths or resiliency are the issue, and as if the material world of power and oppression can be mitigated by neutrality and objectivity

Persons share complex stories and experiences from their lives, in which there is suffering, hardship, resistance, and responses that are insightful and intelligent. Practitioners too often side with *psychocentrism* (Defehr, 2016), reducing this complexity into simplicity, redefining people's complex responses and acts of resistance to fit narrowly defined categories of trauma criteria and symptomology. This moves the focus from a person's autonomous responses and unique acts of resistance to professional assessment and psychological templates of mental wellness. Experiences defined as trauma are often better understood as exploitation and oppression rooted in the political inequities of our unjust societies (Reynolds et al., 2014; Richardson & Reynolds, 2014). Persons' responses to harm and abuses of power are often better understood as acts of resistance.

Psychology has long been curious about parenting as the site of harm, focused on abusive or neglectful families, and actively constructs those families as the source of mental unwellness. People with childhoods characterized by abuse and neglect are construed as lacking parents who are as benevolent and competent as those who don't experience these harms: but what they lack is justice. Poverty – which is legislated and predictable in capitalist neo-liberal societies – causes harms to families by manufacturing precarious lives and limiting life choices such as employment, housing, and education. This is neglect. Colonization, ableism, transphobia, racism, femicide, misogyny, and anti-black racism are abusive. This is not a matter of individual personal resiliency, but of structural oppression. Conceptualizations of personal dysfunction and trauma not only obscure more than they reveal, but actively contribute to blaming individuals and families for their own suffering (Coates, Bonnah & Richardson, 2019).

“The Connective Power of Politicizing Trauma”

Indigenous Two Spirit therapist Riel Dupuis-Rossi, who identifies as Kanien'keha:ka (Mohawk), Algonquin and Italian, writes of “the connective power of politicizing trauma” (Dupuis-Rossi & Reynolds, 2018, pg. 305). Dupuis-Rossi works alongside other Indigenous people in resisting the colonial and institutional state violence that is most often re-inscribed as trauma or inter-generational trauma:

“Trauma is understood politically...and the responsibility for it is handed back to its rightful source, the Settler government and other historical and contemporary colonial forces. [...] Politicizing trauma creates an opening that allows the client to create a new relationship to her suffering (Duran, Firehammer, & Gonzalez, 2008). Kluane understands her experiences as historical, political, social, and specific to a colonial agenda, as opposed to being experiences that reflect her worthlessness, unloveableness, rejection, and abandonment. Kluane realizes that it is not because she is unworthy or unlovable that she has existed in a perpetual state of deprivation and pain; rather, she has been subjected to a genocidal political agenda that is dehumanizing. With the help of traditional wisdom and teachings, she is able to see herself as having been a child who was deserving of love, care, and protection. The deprivation is connected to an external context, the foster home and colonialism, as opposed to being understood as a result of personal deficits” (Dupuis-Rossi & Reynolds, pg.305).

Politicizing trauma is always useful, as all contexts exist within relationships of interpersonal and structural power. I am, however, quoting Dupuis-Rossi extensively here as their words provoke a required discomfort for me and my fellow settler and non-Indigenous practitioners who might otherwise *settle* ourselves into identities as ‘the good guys’ in struggles against the trauma industry, which indeed sustains our privileges as settler-practitioners, as we are inextricably connected to psychology as a colonial force.

A Witnessing Stance

I describe my stance as witnessing with an aim to bridge the worlds of activism and therapy. There is a rich theory of therapeutic practices oriented around witnessing, specifically in the narrative and constructivist traditions (Myerhoff, 1982; Weingarten, 2000; White, 2002), as well as testimony work by Akinyela (2002; 2014). Of particular interest and relevance is the work of Indigenous women such as Cathy Richardson (2012), who identifies as Metis and Cree, and Barbara Wingard (2001), who is Aboriginal from Ghana people; their witnessing work emerges from their cultural locations as Indigenous women. My approach is informed by decades of fellowship in social movements and activist practices of witnessing, which hold governments and other bodies to account for abuses of power. These abuses include executions, torture, and other violent strategies that silence, dissent, and terrorize populations into submission. The presence of an international activist community is a profound act of faith in the power of witnessing (Amnesty International, 2000; Reynolds, 2010).

Witnessing also serves to resist the individualization and isolation of persons who have survived violence. El Salvadoran Liberation psychologist and Jesuit Priest, Martín-Baró (1990; 1994), worked to bring Liberation Theology to psychology, teaching that in order for psychology to be liberating it must first be liberated. In 1989 a Salvadoran death squad, which was American trained, executed him, alongside his Jesuit brothers, a homemaker, and her daughter. Martín-

Baró teaches that political violence is best understood from a perspective that is both psychological and sociopolitical. This means that the path to liberation lies not in the individual psyche of the victim, but within social relations. The meanings given to the acts of political and state terror are social, not individual.

State oppression, such as ‘residential schools’, legislated poverty, and developer-designed homelessness, dis-members, dis-connects, and removes people from their sites of belonging. In resistance to this dislocation, witnessing work is situated within networked communities. Witnessing engages an ethic of belonging that re-connects, paying particular attention to cultural meanings and practices that *belong* persons in community. I believe, along with many others across time, that culture is a site of healing (de Finney et al, 2018b; Hardy, 2017a; Richardson & Wade, 2008), and that justice-doing can promote healing.

A commitment to witnessing in activist cultures includes the duty of the witness to move beyond hearing individual pain into collective accountability to take actions against injustices. Witnessing exists in relationships of solidarity, meaning shared ethics across points of connection, and it requires an overt position for justice-doing, which requires the witness to respond by taking actions to change the conditions that support and promote abuses of power with action.

Acts of Resistance

As an activist, it has never felt useful, accountable or respectful to question persons who have experienced torture about their humiliation and harm. It has always been useful to talk of how they managed to survive, to stay human in situations outside of human understanding—situations that are perpetrated by government agents acting with impunity to suppress dissent with political terrorism. These teaching led me to seek stories of resistance to suffering, instead of psychologizing and medicalizing their experiences of torture and interpreting their acts of resistance as criteria for mental illness and trauma. My understandings of resistance owe much to asylum seekers and refugees who have survived torture and political violence whom I have worked alongside over the past three decades; and particularly, Indigenous persons from Turtle Island who have survived and continue to resist the political violence of colonization, genocide and assimilation

Resistance refers to all of a person’s or peoples’ responses against abuses of power and oppression, and the many ways that they maintain their dignity and move towards justice. Allan Wade’s article, *Small acts of living: Every day resistance to violence and other forms of oppression* (1997), provides a comprehensive analysis of working with resistance in this way. Wade took the title for his article from Sociologist Erving Goffman (1961), who wrote in *Asylums* about people’s resistance to being held in “total institutions” (p.181), such as psychiatric institutes and prisons, where power is overt and where the holders of power dictate most behaviour. Goffman witnessed people’s responses to these institutions and their nuanced and small forms of resistance, such as sticking out their tongue, walking slowly, and pretending to be unintelligent. Goffman’s ideas are important because they challenge what usually gets attended to as resistance, which is socially constructed as fighting back and speaking up. Instead he

amplified these ‘small acts of living’ to describe the nuanced and multiple ways people resist violence and humiliation and work to restore their dignity.

Overt acts of resistance against oppression are the least common forms of resistance, as the adverse consequences of such resistance can be extreme (Scott, 1985). Often, people who are subjected to abuses of power cannot safely and openly protest abuse. People fight back against oppression in multiple ways, but not always in ways that are easily noticed or understood as resistance (Richardson & Wade, 2008).

The following are the Understandings of Resistance that scaffold my work with people suffering from and struggling against oppression:

1. Wherever there is oppression and abuses of power there is always resistance;
2. Resistance ought not to be judged by its ability to stop oppression, rather;
3. Resistance is important for its ability to maintain a person’s relationship with humanity, especially in situations outside of human understanding.

We witness resistance, not because it stops the abuses of power, but because attending to resistance amplifies the person’s sense of autonomy and adds grip to the fingerhold they have on their dignity (Richardson & Wade, 2010). As practitioners, we serve as witnesses to the person’s resistance despite the success or failure of the struggle, as resistance helps people stay connected to humanity: their own, and the wider sense.

Acts of resistance are most often not able to stop oppression. It is important not to fetishize or romanticize resistance, as our collective purpose is to promote possible lives of justice, not to have rich practices of resistance. Not all responses are acts as resistance, and acts can only be understood as resistance if the person performing them would describe them as such (Wade, 1997).

Making Resistance Visible: Troy’s Story of ‘Hang Time’

As part of a Living Supervision practice, I participate in sessions alongside therapists I supervise (Reynolds & Larcombe, 2016). In my role as Clinical Supervisor at Peak House, a live-in substance-misuse program for youth of all genders, I met with Troy, a white youth who lives under guardianship of the state. I was invited into the therapeutic relationship between the therapist, who I will call Julie, and the youth, who I will call Troy, because Troy’s intake form – which included information from diverse and historic records – was complex and disconcerting. After seeking Troy’s consent to participate with him and Julie, I asked him if he was aware of the descriptions of him in the file, to which he mumbled affirmation. So, I began to ask his understandings of these descriptions, specifically being an alcoholic, an addict, and having a diagnosis of Addictive Personality Disorder. He was also ascribed, through various professionalized assessments from different domains, an identity with a possible learning disorder, trauma, and dissociative symptomology. He was reported to be a liar, and someone who had made false allegations of sexual abuse. This was quite an overwhelming picture, which was why I was invited in. He said that it was pretty much what he was told, and wondered what I made of it, and, acknowledging that we’d called in the ‘big guns’ (me as the supervisor), if he

was “too messed up to help.” I believe as practitioners we are ethically required to be the bringers of hope, and to build trust-worthy-ness; as such, I never lie to youth. I let Troy know why I had been called in, and I also let him know that I had hope for him based on youth who had taught me how to be useful over two decades at Peak House.

After structuring safety (Reynolds et al., 2014; Richardson & Reynolds, 2014), and beginning to co-construct our relationship of respect and dignity, I asked Troy if he described himself as an addict, if he knew Addictive Personality Disorder is not a credible diagnosis, and what he thought of being called an alcoholic. He thought for a while and responded that he pretty much drank until he blacked out. Here, I resisted the usual addiction counselling protocol, such as: What is your drug of choice? How much do you use? Who do you use with? How do you get your drugs? Instead I asked, “What are you blacking out?” Troy named his “foster father’s attacks”.

This changes everything. Nowhere in the assessment are the foster father’s attacks against Troy named. Instead we have a litany of explanations for all that is going on with Troy due to his mental health, addiction, and moral failings. Coates and Wade (2007) describe how language can be used to hide violence, obscure the perpetrator’s responsibility, blame the victim, and hide the victim’s resistance to the abuse and violence. The language in Troy’s file accomplished all of these things: it concealed the violence that beset him, revoked responsibility from the abusers for the suffering at hand, perpetuated an undignified story about Troy’s identity that blamed him for his own suffering, whilst erasing and subverting any possible descriptions of his agency and his resistance.

My intention as a therapist is to make the person’s acts of resistance visible (not to interpret it as symptoms of trauma) so I asked Troy what he did when this man attacked him. I resisted asking questions about the nature of the violence, specific degrading acts, or his feelings about this. He said he didn’t do much, and that there was no way he could fight a big strong angry man as the attacks started when he was a boy. I asked what he *did* do. There was profound silence and palpable connection. Troy moved towards me, and said that I was going to find this weird. I told him I’ve heard lots of ways that people fight back that are *way out there*, so he asks, if I know who Michael Jordan is, and if I know what ‘Hang Time’ is. After a lot of discussion about basketball, including tales of the two games I saw Jordan play, we get out of our chairs and compare our vertical leaps, his is impressive, mine, not so much. Troy tells me he has Michael Jordan’s iconic ‘Hang Time’ poster, which is a life-sized portrayal of the perhaps-greatest-basketball-player-of-all-time flying through the air, palming the ball, poised to slam dunk. Following an animated, embodied discussion on both sides in which we discuss Jordan’s ‘Hang Time’ – and how I, as a white 5’3 middle-aged woman even know this stuff – Troy describes his acts of resistance:

Troy has this almost life-size poster of Jordan flying through the air taking up the whole wall of his small basement room. When he hears his foster father’s steps coming down the stairs, and anticipates an attack, Troy leaves his body and joins Michael Jordan in ‘Hang Time’. He hangs, suspended in time, and experiences himself as out-of-time. Following the assault, when the man leaves his bedroom, and Troy judges it is safe-enough for him to reappear, he slam-

dunks the basketball ball, actively ending the suspension of time, and simultaneously comes back into his body.

Troy's words evoke a profound and meaningful silence. The conversation was quiet and slow, and we were drawn closer together physically connected by the social poetics (Katz & Shotter, 2004) of all that was spoken between us in the spirited and embodied language that exists beyond words. We were inhabiting 'spaces of justice' (Lacey, 2005) co-created relationally by our points of connection, momentary solidarity, and intentions to centre and witness Troy's acts of resistance.

Troy's use of 'Hang Time' is a classic example of dissociative behaviour, and yet we resist this description as it hides his act of resistance and youth wisdom. I ask him how he thought of this. If he thought it might be a useful way of trying to be safe without physically fighting back? What it might mean to Michael Jordan that he is accompanying Troy in ways that have Troy feeling safer? What qualities this act of resistance speaks to? How this has been useful to Troy? Troy spoke of thinking it might be "thinking quick, being smart, moving fast, and finding someone safe to not be alone."

We go on to have a conversation about how this is connected to a possible 'learning disability' and if Troy might not be going into 'Hang Time' in his science class because the teacher's voice scares him. I ask if he thinks the teacher will harm him like the foster father and he says no, and we strategize how to not use 'Hang Time' when it isn't needed.

I asked about his relationship to drinking, specifically 'drinking 'til he blacks out'. He responds that now that he's thinking of 'Hang Time' and his creativity, intelligence, and connection to Jordan – maybe he doesn't need to black out. Troy thinks he'll be more able to resist 'drinking 'til he blacks out' with help from the crew of Peak House.

While Troy and I focused on his many creative, insightful, inspiring and courageous acts of resistance, acknowledging that at times he 'sacrificed himself' for his siblings, it is important to hold these celebratory revelations in a tension alongside a knowing that he was not even remotely able to keep himself safe from this man's attacks, or his family safe from state apprehension. Witnessing these acts of resistance was extremely useful to Troy though, as it honoured his ability to 'stay human' in an environment of fear, degradation and suffering.

In my work with Troy, I resisted an intrusive-curiosity that could probe both what is wrong in Troy's mind and the mind of the man who perpetrated sexualized violence against him. Here, I am informed by Jewish scholar Hannah Arendt's work on the 'Banality of Evil' (1994), which invites us to resist centering our inquiry on people who perpetrate violence, especially our societal histories of constructing despotic rulers and actors as 'evil geniuses'. I am, however, committed to an ethical-curiosity that seeks to bring forward Troy's acts of resistance to abuses of power so these acts can be witnessed as expressions of his youth wisdom.

Necessary Assumptions: Making Sense and Seeking Safety

Witnessing resistance requires us to create relationships of dignity and respect across differences of privilege. It is the task of therapists to build a bridge across the differences of

power and to meet the person where they are at. From this dignifying relationship, we invite persons to take responsibility where they have actual choices and access to power available to them, but not for the debilitating oppression, violence, and marginalization they have experienced nor for the social context that promotes and sustains their suffering. We collaborate with persons and assist them in making any changes they prefer to make to alter their relationship to suffering. As therapists enacting justice-doing, we are further required to use our specific social locations and access to power to work to change the social contexts in which suffering and structural violence occurs.

There are two necessary assumptions for witnessing resistance that I am unable to work without:

1. Persons' behaviour makes sense.
2. Persons are trying to be safe.

These assumptions invite me to resist diagnosing persons' behaviour and responses as symptoms of trauma, and to resist seeing persons as being unsafe and not trustworthy with their own lives.

Un-housing as an Act of Resistance

I work in the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver—the poorest neighbourhood in Canada, off Indigenous reserve. In Vancouver, even a family of four with two adults working full-time at minimum wage is unhoused, both because they cannot afford housing and because housing is unavailable. In the context of supervising a housing outreach worker, I was asked to assist the worker and their organization with the following situation: a mother who is sole-parenting two children lives in a car. When she is offered the prospect of housing from an outreach worker who approaches her on the street as she exits the car in the morning with her children, she quietly and laconically complies with the interview. The worker returns over the next days for follow-up, with the mandatory housing assessment forms, to find the woman and family gone, the car moved. The meaning and assessment the worker gives to this is that the mother is pre-contemplative, not ready for change or housing, and possibly self-defeating. This assessment is upheld by the dominant parlance of the helping professions, which blames this purportedly inept mother for poverty, a lack of housing and the resulting neglect that is linked to abuses of structural power.

In a supervisory conversation we engaged a critical analysis of justice-doing where we worked to meet this woman where she is at in the world; we looked for her behaviour to make sense and we tried to see her attempts to create safety for her family. When the worker was able to actually meet this woman in the material political world she exists in, the worker was able to see their actions as a threat to the family, putting the children at risk of apprehension by the state. Again, the mother's behaviour is not evidence of faulty logic, multigenerational trauma, attachment disorder, or an inability to trust due to Borderline Personality Disorder, (all of which were considered to make sense of her behaviour), but an astute intelligence and resistance to state apprehension. This was not a refusal to be housed, but an attempt to keep her family together. What was required of the worker was that they be accountable to their individual power and their access to structural institutionalized power, which they cannot abdicate. This requires collective accountability and solidarity with other workers as opposed to blaming other workers for systemic failures when we have, as a society, failed and refused to create more just and dignifying options and responses to suffering and oppression. The task, from there, became how

to actually be a safe-enough resource for this woman to trust, and that began with acknowledging our power as workers, and being accountable for the reality that being housed can make families more vulnerable to surveillance and apprehension, as their whereabouts are known, and workers can and have been used to report on the family's behaviour. This is not a quick fix or a matter of semantics. When state-sponsored fostering receives more money than families would need to keep their own children, what is required is actually working to dismantle these systems, while simultaneously responding to suffering with the flawed and limited resources we have.

Instead of judging this woman for putting her children at risk, we look for how a woman's responses make sense and how women are trying to keep their children safe, especially in ways that aren't easily recognizable, especially when we structurally hold mothers responsible for men's violent behaviour.

Conclusion

The psychological language of trauma obscures persons' acts of resistance, often reinterpreting resistance to criteria of mental illness. The language of trauma obscures violence and resistance to oppression by pathologizing people, which blames them for their own suffering and limited life choices. Justice-doing and a stance for decolonization help us address suffering that is inextricably linked to structural oppression and resists pathologizing and individualizing people's responses to oppression. Witnessing as enacted in social justice movements creates a space for resistance to be revealed and requires that practitioners are responsible to work toward changing social structures of oppression in which suffering occurs. When we create relationships of respect and dignity, we truly meet people where they are at and their acts of resistance become visible, inviting a witnessing of their intelligence, strength, and courage. We don't diagnose people as mentally ill, and we don't accuse them of being self-sabotaging, but enter their world where their actions towards dignity and safety (Richardson & Wade, 2010) become visible to us.

Dedication

For Cori Kelly (who identifies as Blackfoot/Irish) who I am humbled to name as a mentor, for her big-hearted presence, brilliant analysis, and whose moral courage to offer wise critique and invite fierce accountability is a gift to so many of us, and to me specifically as a white settler. Cori shoulders up immeasurable numbers of folks with her spirited presence that *belongs* so many of us in the power of her love and the warmth of her circle.

Acknowledgments

This writing and work took place on the traditional and unceded ancestral lands and territories of the Coast Salish (x^wməθkwəyəm [Musqueam], Sk̓w̓x̓wú7mesh [Squamish], and Səl̓ílwəta? [Tseil-Waututh] nations, which were never surrendered.

Heartfelt gratitude to my Solidarity Team for this project: Cori Kelly, Tara *Danger* Taylor, Janet Newbury, Sacha Médiné, Stefanie Krasnow, and Riel Dupuis-Rossi.

Revolutionary love and solidarity for the Response Based Practice folks: Cathy Richardson whose Cree name is Kinewwsquao, Linda Coates, Allan Wade, and Shelly Bonham. This writing weaves our connections of witnessing resistance while maintaining the important differences in

our work, specifically the origins of the theorizing and practice, which comes from different influences, mine from direct action activism, and the RBP from critical and complex analysis of language. I honour these differences while acknowledging the influence RBP has had throughout my articulation and transformation of this work. If other folks can't tell our work apart, well, I'm humbled and honoured by that.

Mr. Peaslee helped again.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2012). *On being included: Racism and diversity in institutional life*. Durham and London, UK: Duke University Press.
- Akinyela, M. (2002). Decolonizing our lives: Divining a postcolonial therapy. *International Journal of Narrative Therapy and Community Work*, (2), 32-43.
- Akinyela, M. (2014). Narrative therapy and cultural democracy: A yestimony view. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy*. No 35. 46-49.
- Amnesty International (2000). *Torture worldwide: An affront to human dignity*. New York: Amnesty International Publications.
- Arendt, H. (1994). *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A report on the banality of evil*. New York, N.Y., U.S.A: Penguin Books.
- Reynolds (2019). Setting an intention for decolonizing practice and justice-doing. In Collins, S (Ed.) *Embracing Cultural Responsivity and Social Justice*. (pp. 615–630). Victoria: Counselling Concepts.
- Reynolds (2014). A solidarity approach: The rhizome & messy inquiry. In Simon, G. & Chard, A. (Eds.) *Systemic Inquiry: Innovations in Reflexive Practice Research*. London, UK: Everything Is Connected Books
- Reynolds (2010). Doing justice: A witnessing stance in therapeutic work alongside survivors of torture and political violence. In J. Raskin, S. Bridges, & R. Neimeyer (Eds.), *Studies in meaning 4: Constructivist perspectives on theory, practice, and social justice* (pp. 157–184). New York, NY: Pace University Press.
- Reynolds, “Bahman,” Hammoud-Beckett, S., Sanders, C. J., & Haworth, G. (2014). Poetic resistance: Bahman’s resistance to torture and political violence. *International Journal of Narrative Therapy and Community Work*, 2, 1–15. Retrieved from <http://dulwichcentre.com.au/publications/international-journal-narrative-therapy/>
- Reynolds & Hammoud-Beckett, S. (2018). Social justice activism and therapy: Tensions, points of connection, and hopeful scepticism. In C. Audet & D. Paré (Eds.), *Social justice and counseling: Discourse in practice* (pp. 3–15). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Reynolds & Larcombe, A. (2016). Living Supervision in Practice: Structuring accountability with men therapists working alongside women and gender-variant persons with precarious lives, in I. McCarthy & G. Simon (Eds.), *Systemic therapy as transformative practice*. London: Everything is Connected Press, p125-138.
- Reynolds & polanco, m. (2012). An ethical stance for justice-doing in community work and therapy. *Journal of Systemic Therapies*, 31(4), 18–33.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1521/jsyt.2012.31.4.18>

- Brave Heart, M. Y. H., & DeBruyn, L. M. (1998). The American Indian holocaust: Healing historical unresolved grief. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research*, 8(2), 60-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5820/aian.0802.1998.60>
- Buechler, S. (2005). New social movement theories. *Sociological Quarterly*, 36(3), 441-464.
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Chomsky, N. (2005). *Chomsky on anarchism*. B. Pateman (Ed.). Edinburgh: AK Press.
- Clark, N. (2016). Shock and Awe: Trauma as the New Colonial Frontier. *Humanities*, 5,14,1-16.
- Chomsky, N. (2005). *Chomsky on anarchism*. B. Pateman (Ed.). Edinburgh: AK Press.
- Coates, L., Bonnah, S., & Richardson, C. (2019). Beauty and the Beast: Misrepresentation and social responses in Fairy-tale Romance and Redemption. *International Journal of Child, Youth and Family Studies*, 10(1): 119–136. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18357/ijcyfs101201918809>
- Coates, L., & Wade, A. (2007). Language and violence: Analysis of four discursive operations. *Journal of Family Violence*, 22, 511–522. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10896-007-9082-2>
- Crenshaw, K. (1995). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of colour. In K. Crenshaw, G. Gotanda, G. Peller, & K. Thomas (Eds.), *Critical race theory: The key writings that formed the movement* (pp. 357–383). New York, NY: The New Press.
- Defehr, J. (2016). Inventing Mental Health First Aid: The problem of Psychocentrism. *Studies in Social Justice*. 10(1), 18–35.
- Defehr, J. N. (2017). Navigating psychiatric truth claims in collaborative practice: A proposal for radical critical mental health awareness. *Journal of Systemic Therapies*, Vol. 36, No.3,pp.27-38.
- de Finney, S., Palacios, L., Kaur Mucina, & Chadwick, A. (2018a). Refusing Band-Aids: Unsettling “Care” Under the Carceral Settler State. *CYC-Online* September 2019. ISSN 1605-7406, 28-38.
- de Finney, S., Moreno, S., Chadwick, A., Adams, C., Sam, S. R., Scott, A., & Land, N. (2018b). Sisters rising: Shape shifting settler violence through art and land retellings. In C. Mitchell & R. Moletsane (Eds.), *Young people engaging with the arts and visual practices to address sexual violence*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense.
- Dupuis-Rossi, R. & Reynolds (2018). Indigenizing and decolonizing therapeutic responses to trauma-related dissociation. In Arthur, N (Ed.) *Counselling in Cultural Contexts Identities and Social Justice*. Switzerland: Springer.
- Duran, E. (2006). *Healing the soul wound: Counseling with American Indians and other Native Peoples*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Duran, E., Firehammer, J., & Gonzalez, J. (2008). Liberation psychology as the path toward healing cultural soul wounds. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 86, 288–295. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6678.2008.tb00511.x>
- Fanon, F. (1963). *The wretched of the earth*. New York: Grove Press.
- Goffman, E. (1961). *Asylums*. New York: Doubleday.
- Hardy, K.V. (2017a). *Race through a trauma lens*. In K.V. Hardy & T. Bobes (Eds.), *Promoting cultural sensitivity in supervision: A Manual for practitioners*. New York, NY: Routledge. P.19-21.
- Hardy, K.V. (2017b). Soul work: Healing racial trauma. Soul-work: Healing racial trauma; A two-day conference for people of color and white allies. Stamford, June 2-3, 2017.

- Heath, T. (2018). Moving beyond multicultural counselling: Narrative therapy, anti-colonialism, cultural democracy and hip-hop.
- Hill Collins, P. (1998). *Fighting words: Black women and the search for Justice*. University of Minnesota Press.
- hooks, b. (1984). *Feminist theory: From margin to Centre*. Boston, MA: South End Press.
- Hunt, S. (2016). *Decolonizing the roots of rape culture*. Retrieved from: <https://ccsvconference.wordpress.com/2016/09/26/decolonizing-the-roots-of-rape-culture/>
- Katz, A., & Shotter, J. (2004). On the way to “presence”: Methods of a “social poetics.” In D. Pare & G. Lerner (Eds.), *Collaborative Practice in Psychology and Psychotherapy*. New York: Haworth Clinical Practice Press.
- Kouri, S., & Skott-Myers, S. (2016). Catastrophe: a transversal mapping of colonialism and settler subjectivity. *Settler Colonial Studies*, 6(3), 279–274, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2201473X.2015.1061967>.
- Lacey, A. (2005). *Spaces of justice: The social divine of global anti-capital activists’ sites of resistance*. CRSA/CSA 42 (4).
- Manuel, A., & Derrickson, R. (2015). *Unsettling Canada*. Toronto, ON: Between the Lines Press.
- Martín-Baró, I. (1994). *Writings for a Liberation Psychology*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Maynard, R. (2017). *Policing Black lives: State violence in Canada from slavery to the present*. Halifax, NS and Winnipeg, MB: Fernwood Publishing.
- Myerhoff, B. (1982). Life history among the elderly: Performance, visibility and remembering. A crack in the mirror. *Reflexive Perspectives in Anthropology*, 99-117.
- Regan, P. (2010). *Unsettling the settler within: Indian residential schools, truth telling, and reconciliation in Canada*. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.
- Richardson, C. (2012). Witnessing life transitions with ritual and ceremony in family therapy: Three examples from a Metis therapist. *Journal of Systemic Therapies*, 31(3), 68–78.
- Richardson, C., & Reynolds (2014). Structuring Safety in Therapeutic Work alongside Indigenous Survivors of Residential Schools. *Canadian Journal of Native Studies*, XXXIV (2), 147-164.
- Richardson, C., & Wade, A. (2008). Taking resistance seriously: A response-based approach to social work in cases of violence against Indigenous women. In S. Strega & J. Carrière (Eds.), *Walking this path together: Anti-racist and anti-oppressive child welfare practice*. Winnipeg, MB: Fernwood.
- Richardson, C., & Wade, A. (2010). Islands of safety: Restoring dignity in violence-prevention work with Indigenous families. *First Peoples Child and Family Review*, 5(1), 137–155. Retrieved from <http://journals.sfu.ca/fpcf/r/>
- Shantz, J. (2011). *Active anarchy: Political practice in contemporary movements*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Smith, A. L. (2006). Heteropatriarchy and the three pillars of white supremacy: Rethinking women of color organizing. In INCITE! Women of color against violence (Eds.), *Color of violence: The INCITE! Anthology* (p. 66-73). Cambridge, MA: South End Press.
- Spade, D. (2011). *Normal life: Administrative violence, critical trans politics, and the limits of law*. Brooklyn, NY: South End Press.

- Strong, T. & Busch, R. (2013). DSM-V and evidence-based family therapy. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy*, 4(2), 90–103.
- Sutherland, O., Coulture, S., Silva, J., Strong, T., Lamarre, A. & Hardt, L. (2016). Social Justice Oriented Diagnostic discussions: A Discourse Perspective. *Journal of Feminist Family Therapy*, 28(2-3), 76–99.
- Todd, N. & Wade, A. (1994). Domination, deficiency and psychotherapy. *The Calgary Participator*, 4(1), 37–46.
- Tuck, E. & Yang, K. W. (2012). Decolonization is not a metaphor. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 1(1), 1–40. Retrieved from <http://jps.library.utoronto.ca/index.php/ides>
- Wade, A. (1997). Small acts of living: Everyday resistance to violence and other forms of oppression. *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 19, 23-49. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/journal/10591>
- Weingarten, K. (2000). Witnessing, wonder and hope. *Family Process*, 39(4), 389-402.
- White, M. (2002). “Definitional ceremony and outsider witness responses.” Workshop notes: www.dulwichcentre.cau, August 23rd 2002.
- Wingard, B. (2001). Introductions and Stories of Life. In: Wingard B, Lester J (Eds.), *Telling our stories in ways that make us stronger*. Adelaide,, Australia: Dulwich Centre Publications.